## Violation cum Showcause Notice

## Government of India Ministry of Mines Indian Bureau of Mines Office of the Regional Controller of Mines

No.AP/ANP/LSt-12/Hyd.V.1

Room No. 603, CGO Towers, VIth Floor, Kavadiguda, Secunderabad – 500 080 Date: 8.3.2017

To

Shri G Ram Mohan Rao, Mine Owner, S/O G.RamaSwamy D.No.2/78,Jammalamadgu T.Q Kondapuram Railway Station, YSR KadapaDistrict, Kadapa 516 444

Violation of provisions of Mineral Conservation and Development Rules (MCDR,1988)) in respect of Sub: Ragimanithippa Limestone mine over an area of 16.763 situated in Nagarur Village Tadipatri (M), Anantapur district of A.P state

Sir,

The following provisions of Mineral Conservation and Development Rules 1988, were found violated in the above mine during the inspection on 03.01.2017 by Shri Y.M Siva Prasad, Assistant Mining Engineer., of this office accompanied by Shri G Ravi Prasad, Agent, of your mines.

Rule No.	Rule position	Nature of Violation
12(3)	The Scheme of Mining shall be submitted to the Regional Controller of Mines or the officer authorized in this behalf by the State Government as the case may be at least one hundred twenty days before the expiry of the five years period, for which it was approved on the last occasion.	The validity of the Scheme of mining approved vide this office letter No.AP/ANP/MP/W.Sh.Dol-St-20/HYD,dated 27.09.2012 was expired on 31.03.2013.In the mean time validity of lease was also expired on 09.5/2013.  The Scheme of Mining/Mining Plan for the subsequent period should ought to have been submitted to the Regional Controller of Mines, Indian Bureau of Mines, Hyderabad, at least 120 days before the expiry of five years period for which the mining plan/ Scheme of Mining was approved on the last occasion but the same has not been submitted so far.
13(1)	Every holder of a mining lease shall carry out mining operations in accordance with the approved mining plan with such conditions as may have been prescribed under sub-rule (2) of rule 9 or with such modifications, if any, as permitted under rule 10 or the mining plan or scheme approved under rule 11 or 12 as the case may be.	Mining operations should have been carried out in accordance with the approved Mining Plan/Scheme of Mining. However, production of 3474 tonnes &1372 tonnes for the years 2015-16& 2016-17 (upto Nov 2016) respectively had been carried out without having any approved proposals in form of Mining Plan/Scheme of Mining for your above mentioned mine. It is evident that the mining operations are not being carried out in accordance with the approved Scheme of Mining Plan.

- 02. In this connection, it is brought to your notice that the above violations constitute an offence punishable under rule 58 of MCDR, 1988. Besides, inability to comply the provision of Rule 13(1) is also liable for suspension of mining operations under rule 13(2) of MCDR, 1988
- 03. You are, therefore, directed to show cause within a period of 30(thirty) days from the date of issue of this letter, as to why you should not be prosecuted for the above offence and or the mining operations shall be suspended under provision of Rule 13(2) of MCDR, 1988 in the mine till the compliance of the aforesaid violation.
- 04. Please note that no further notice will be given to you in this regard

Yours faithfully,

(Ibrahim Sharief) **Senior** AssistantController of mines मूल प्रति पर नहीं /Not on the Original:

- (1) खान नियंत्रक (द) भारतीय खान ब्यूरो, बंगलूर को प्रतिलिपि सूचनार्थ अग्रेषित ।
- (2) Copy for information to The Director of Mines and Geology, Government of Andhra Pradesh, 5<sup>th</sup> &6<sup>th</sup> Floors, Sri Anjaneya Towers, Ibrahimpatnam, Krishna District, Vijayawada.
- (3) The Assistant Director, Dept. of Mines and Geology, Govt. of Andhra Pradesh, Buggaiah Compound, Tadipatri, Ananthapur district, Andhra Pradesh.

(Ibrahim Sharief) **Senior** AssistantController of mines